A Cosserat model of elastic solids reinforced by a family of curved and twisted fibers

David J. Steigmann and Milad Shirani

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA. 94720, USA

- Elastic Energy and Kinematics
- Fiber-Matrix interactions
- Examples
 - * Torsion of a cylinder
 - Flexure of a rectangular block
 - * Bending, stretching and shearing of a block
- ✤ References

Elastic Energy and Kinematics

Fibers are modelled as Kirchhoff Rods with referential directors
 {D₁ = D, D₂, D₃} (shown in Figure 1), deformed directors {d₁ = d, d₂, d₃} with D and d being tangent to the fiber

$$\boldsymbol{D}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{D}_j = \delta_{ij}$$
 and $\boldsymbol{d}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_j = \delta_{ij}$ (1)

Rotation Tensor

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{D} + \boldsymbol{d}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{D}_2 + \boldsymbol{d}_3 \otimes \boldsymbol{D}_3 = \boldsymbol{d}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{D}_i$$
(2)

Fibers are embedded in the body and they deform with the body. Therefore, they are material curves; so, d and D are material vectors and

$$| FD | d = FD \tag{3}$$



Figure 1: Schematic of a fiber in the reference configuration

Kinematical and constitutive variables in Cosserat elasticity





Figure 2: Fibers and matrix are kinematically independent; their interface convects as a material surface.

Elastic Energy and Kinematics (Rods)

$$\mathbf{r}'(\mathbf{s}) = \lambda \mathbf{d}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = |\mathbf{r}'(\mathbf{s})|,$$
(5)

$$\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{d}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{D}_i \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{D}_i(s) = \boldsymbol{A}(s)\boldsymbol{E}_i$$
 (6)

$$d'_i = R'D_i + RD'_i \tag{7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{d}_{i}^{\prime} = \boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{d}_{i} = \boldsymbol{w} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{i}, \tag{8}$$

$$W = R'R^t + RA'A^tR^t$$
(9)

$$\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{W} = \kappa_i \boldsymbol{d}_i, \tag{10}$$

$$\kappa_i = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} \boldsymbol{d}_k \cdot \boldsymbol{d}'_j. \tag{11}$$

Elastic Energy and Kinematics

$$S = \int_0^l U ds, \tag{12}$$

$$\{\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}', \boldsymbol{r}'\} \to \{\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{R}', \boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{r}'\} \qquad \text{select } \boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{R}_{|s}^{t} \tag{13}$$

$$\boldsymbol{R}^{t}\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{R}-\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{A}^{t}=\boldsymbol{R}^{t}\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}=(\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{D}_{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}\boldsymbol{D}_{j})\boldsymbol{D}_{i}\otimes\boldsymbol{D}_{j},$$
(14)

$$\gamma = \gamma_i \boldsymbol{D}_i = a \boldsymbol{x} (\boldsymbol{R}^t \boldsymbol{R}') \qquad \text{with} \qquad \gamma_i = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{D}_k \cdot \boldsymbol{R}' \boldsymbol{D}_j. \tag{15}$$

$$U = w(\lambda, \gamma; s). \tag{16}$$

$$\gamma_i = \kappa_i - \kappa_i^0, \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_i^0 = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} \boldsymbol{D}_k \cdot \boldsymbol{D}'_j$$
 (17)

$$\gamma = \kappa - \kappa^0, \tag{18}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa} = \boldsymbol{R}^t \boldsymbol{w} = \kappa_i \boldsymbol{D}_i = \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{x} (\boldsymbol{R}^t \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{R}). \tag{19}$$

$$w(\lambda,\kappa;s) = \frac{1}{2}A(s)\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}T(s)\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2}F(s)\kappa_{\alpha}\kappa_{\alpha},$$
(20)

$$\dot{S} = P$$
 where $S = \int_0^l U ds$, (21)

$$\dot{U} = \dot{w} = w_{\lambda}\dot{\lambda} + m_i\dot{\gamma}_i, \quad \text{with} \quad w_{\lambda} = \partial w/\partial\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad m_i = \partial w/\partial\gamma_i \quad (22)$$

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{D} \Longrightarrow \dot{\lambda} \boldsymbol{d} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{r}' = \boldsymbol{u}' \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_i = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{d}_i.$$
 (23)

$$\dot{\kappa}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} (\dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{j}' + \boldsymbol{d}_{k} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{d}}_{j}') = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} [\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{j}' + \boldsymbol{d}_{k} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega}' \times \boldsymbol{d}_{j} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{j}')]$$
(24)

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = \dot{\kappa}_i = \mathbf{d}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}'.$$
 (25)

$$\dot{S} = \int_0^l (w_\lambda \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}' + \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}') ds, \qquad (26)$$

$$\boldsymbol{m} = m_i \boldsymbol{d}_i. \tag{27}$$

Equilibrium

$$\mathbf{r}' \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} = 0; \quad \alpha = 2, 3.$$
 constraints (28)

$$E = S + \int_0^l f_{\alpha} \mathbf{r}' \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\alpha} d\mathbf{s}, \qquad f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{s}) \text{ are Lagrange multipliers}$$
(29)

$$\dot{E} = P,$$
 (30)

where

$$\dot{E} = \int_0^t [(w_\lambda \boldsymbol{d} + f_\alpha \boldsymbol{d}_\alpha) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}' + \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}' + f_\alpha \boldsymbol{d}_\alpha \times \boldsymbol{r}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} + \dot{f}_\alpha \boldsymbol{r}' \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_\alpha] ds \qquad (31)$$

$$\dot{E} = (\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) \mid_{0}^{\prime} - \int_{0}^{\prime} [\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{f}' + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot (\mathbf{m}' - \mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{r}')] ds, \qquad (32)$$

$$\boldsymbol{f} = w_{\lambda} \boldsymbol{d} + f_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha}. \tag{33}$$

$$P = (\boldsymbol{t} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}) \mid_{0}^{l} + \int_{0}^{l} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) ds, \qquad (34)$$

f' + g = 0 and $m' + \pi = f \times r'$, endpoint conditions are f = t and m = c(35)

Equilibrium

From the strain-energy function

$$w(\lambda,\kappa;s) = \frac{1}{2}A(s)\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}T(s)\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2}F(s)\kappa_{\alpha}\kappa_{\alpha},$$
(36)

we find

$$m_1 d_1 = T \tau d$$
 and $m_\alpha d_\alpha = F \kappa_\alpha d_\alpha$ (37)

Moreover,

$$\kappa_{i} = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} \boldsymbol{d}_{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{j}^{\prime} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{\mu}^{\prime} = -\boldsymbol{d}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \Longrightarrow \kappa_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha 1 \mu} \boldsymbol{d}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}. \tag{38}$$
Also $\boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}^{\prime} = 0$; therefore

$$\boldsymbol{d}' = (\boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}')\boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}' = (\boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}')\boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} = (\boldsymbol{e}_{\beta \mathbf{1}\alpha}\boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}')\boldsymbol{d}_{\beta} \quad (39)$$

thus

$$\kappa_{\beta} \boldsymbol{d}_{\beta} = \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}' \longrightarrow m_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{F} \kappa_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}'$$
(40)

so

$$\boldsymbol{m} = T\tau \boldsymbol{d} + F\boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}'. \tag{41}$$

$$FD = \lambda d$$
, where $d = RD$ and $\lambda = |FD|$, (42)

$$D_{\alpha} \cdot R^{t} F D = 0; \quad \alpha = 2, 3, \quad \text{constraints}$$
 (43)

$$U(F, R, \nabla R; X), \tag{44}$$

$$\boldsymbol{F} = F_{iA}\boldsymbol{e}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{E}_A, \quad \boldsymbol{R} = R_{iA}\boldsymbol{e}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{E}_A \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \boldsymbol{R} = R_{iA,B}\boldsymbol{e}_i \otimes \boldsymbol{E}_A \otimes \boldsymbol{E}_B \quad \text{with} \quad F_{iA} = \chi_{i,A},$$
(45)

$$U(F, R, \nabla R; X) = U(QF, QR, Q\nabla R; X) = U(R^{T}F, R^{T}\nabla R; X) = W(E, \Gamma; X),$$
(46)

$$\boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{R}^t \boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{E}_{AB} \boldsymbol{E}_A \otimes \boldsymbol{E}_B; \qquad \boldsymbol{E}_{AB} = \boldsymbol{R}_{iA} \boldsymbol{F}_{iB}, \tag{47}$$

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_C \otimes \boldsymbol{E}_C = \Gamma_{DC} \boldsymbol{E}_D \otimes \boldsymbol{E}_C; \qquad \Gamma_{DC} = \frac{1}{2} e_{BAD} R_{iA} R_{iB,C}, \tag{48}$$

$$\dot{E} = P,$$
 (49)

$$E = \int_{\kappa} U dv + \int_{\kappa} \Lambda_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \cdot E D dv, \quad (\Lambda_{\alpha} \text{ are Lagrange multipliers})$$
(50)

$$\dot{U} = \dot{W} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{E}} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \dot{\Gamma}, \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = W_{\boldsymbol{E}} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = W_{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}$$
 (51)

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{E}} = \int_{\kappa} [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \otimes \boldsymbol{D}) \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{E}} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D}_{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{D}] d\boldsymbol{v} \quad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{D}_{\alpha} \quad (52)$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{E}} = \boldsymbol{R}^t (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{F}), \text{ where } \boldsymbol{u} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \dot{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{R}^t.$$
 (53)

$$(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D) \cdot \dot{E} = R(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D) \cdot \nabla u - \Omega \cdot Skw[R(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D)F^{t}]$$
 (54)

$$(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D) \cdot \dot{E} = R(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D) \cdot \nabla u - 2ax \{ RSkw[(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D)E^{t}]R^{t} \} \cdot \omega$$
 (55)

$$\dot{\Gamma} = \mathbf{R}^t \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega} \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \dot{\Gamma} = \mathbf{R} \boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega}, \tag{56}$$

In detail

We have
$$\boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{R}^T \boldsymbol{F}$$
 and $\Gamma_{DC} = \frac{1}{2} e_{BAD} R_{iA} R_{iB,C}$, thus

$$\boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{R}^{T} \boldsymbol{F} \Longrightarrow \dot{\boldsymbol{E}} = \dot{\boldsymbol{R}}^{T} \boldsymbol{F} + \boldsymbol{R}^{T} \dot{\boldsymbol{F}}$$
$$= (\Omega \boldsymbol{R})^{T} \boldsymbol{F} + \boldsymbol{R}^{T} \nabla \boldsymbol{u}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{R}^{T} (\nabla \boldsymbol{u} - \Omega \boldsymbol{F})$$
(57)

similarly

$$\dot{\Gamma}_{DC} = \frac{1}{2} e_{BAD} (\dot{R}_{iA} R_{iB,C} + R_{iA} \dot{R}_{iB,C})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e_{BAD} (\Omega_{im} R_{mA} R_{iB,C} + R_{iA} \Omega_{im,C} R_{mB} + R_{iA} \Omega_{im} R_{mB,C})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e_{BAD} \{ \Omega_{im} (R_{mA} R_{iB,C} + R_{iA} R_{mB,C}) + R_{iA} \Omega_{im,C} R_{mB} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (e_{BAD} R_{iA} R_{mB}) \Omega_{im,C}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (e_{mij} \Omega_{im,C}) R_{jD} \qquad (\text{because } e_{mij} R_{jD} = e_{BAD} R_{iA} R_{mB})$$

$$= (\frac{1}{2} e_{mij} \Omega_{im})_{,C} R_{jD} \qquad (\text{because } \omega_j = \frac{1}{2} e_{mij} \Omega_{im})$$

$$= R_{jD} \omega_{j,C} \qquad (58)$$

$$\implies \dot{\Gamma} = \boldsymbol{R}^T \nabla \boldsymbol{\omega} \tag{59}$$

Equilibrium

$$\dot{E} = \int_{\partial \kappa} [(R\sigma + \lambda \otimes D)\nu \cdot u + (R\mu)\nu \cdot \omega] da + \int_{\kappa} \dot{\Lambda}_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \cdot ED dv - \int_{\kappa} \{u \cdot Div(R\sigma + \lambda \otimes D)\} dv \qquad (\lambda = R\Lambda = \Lambda_{\alpha} d_{\alpha}) - \int_{\kappa} \{\omega \cdot [Div(R\mu) + 2ax(RSkw[(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D)E^{t}]R^{t})]\} dv, \qquad (60) P = \int_{\partial \kappa} (t \cdot u + c \cdot \omega) da + \int_{\kappa} (g \cdot u + \pi \cdot \omega) dv, \qquad (61)$$

where t and c are densities of force and couple acting on $\partial \kappa$, and g and π are densities of force and couple acting in κ .

$$g = -Div(R\sigma + \lambda \otimes D)$$
 and $\pi = -Div(R\mu) - 2ax\{RSkw[(\sigma + \Lambda \otimes D)E^t]R^t\}$ in κ

(62)

and the natural boundary conditions

 $t = (R\sigma + \lambda \otimes D)\nu$ on $\partial \kappa_t$ and $c = (R\mu)\nu$ on $\partial \kappa_c$, (63) where $\partial \kappa_t$ is a part of $\partial \kappa$ where position is not assigned and $\partial \kappa_c$ is a part where rotation is not assigned. We assume position to be assigned on $\partial \kappa \setminus \partial \kappa_t$ (u = 0), and rotation to be assigned on $\partial \kappa \setminus \partial \kappa_c$ ($\omega = 0$).

$$\gamma = a \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{R}') = \gamma_i \mathbf{D}_i \text{ with } \gamma_i = \frac{1}{2} e_{ijk} \mathbf{D}_k \cdot \mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{R}' \mathbf{D}_j \text{ and } \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{d}_i \otimes \mathbf{D}_i$$
 (64)

$$\boldsymbol{R}^{t}\boldsymbol{R}' = R_{iC}R_{iA,B}D_{B}\boldsymbol{E}_{C}\otimes\boldsymbol{E}_{A} = e_{ACD}\Gamma_{DB}D_{B}\boldsymbol{E}_{C}\otimes\boldsymbol{E}_{A}$$
(65)

$$\implies \gamma = \Gamma D$$
 (66)

$$W(\boldsymbol{E},\boldsymbol{\Gamma};\boldsymbol{X}) = w(\boldsymbol{E},\boldsymbol{\gamma};\boldsymbol{X}), \tag{67}$$

$$\sigma = w_E. \tag{68}$$

$$\dot{\gamma}_i = \mathbf{R} \mathbf{D}_i \cdot (\nabla \omega) \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_i \cdot (\mathbf{R}^t \nabla \omega) \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_i \otimes \mathbf{D} \cdot \dot{\Gamma}$$
(69)

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}=\dot{W}=\dot{w}=w_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}=\boldsymbol{M}\otimes\boldsymbol{D}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}},\tag{70}$$

$$\boldsymbol{M} = \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = m_i \boldsymbol{D}_i \quad \text{with} \quad m_i = \partial \boldsymbol{w} / \partial \gamma_i,$$
 (71)

$$\mu = \mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{D}. \tag{72}$$

$$Div(\lambda \otimes D) = \lambda' + (DivD)\lambda, \text{ where } \lambda' = (\nabla\lambda)D$$
 (73)

$$2ax\{RSkw[(\Lambda \otimes D)E^{t}]R^{t}\} = 2ax\{Skw[R(\Lambda \otimes D)E^{t}R^{t}]\}$$
$$= 2ax[Skw(\lambda \otimes FD)]$$
$$= ax(\lambda \otimes \chi' - \chi' \otimes \lambda)$$
$$= \chi' \times \lambda, \quad \text{where} \quad \chi' = (\nabla \chi)D \quad (74)$$

with

$$Div(\mathbf{R}\mu) = \mathbf{m}' + (Div\mathbf{D})\mathbf{m}, \text{ where } \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{M} = m_i\mathbf{d}_i,$$
 (75)

we find

$$\lambda' + (DivD)\lambda + Div(R\sigma) + g = 0, \quad t = (R\sigma)\nu + (D \cdot \nu)\lambda$$
(76)

 and

$$\boldsymbol{m}' + \chi' \times \boldsymbol{\lambda} + (Div\boldsymbol{D})\boldsymbol{m} + 2ax[\boldsymbol{R}Skw(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\boldsymbol{E}^{t})\boldsymbol{R}^{t}] + \boldsymbol{\pi} = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{c} = (\boldsymbol{D} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})\boldsymbol{m}$$
 (77)

- * Fiber inextensibility is accommodated by appending the constraint $D \cdot ED = 1$;
- * In this case Λ and λ are now 3-vectors given respectively by $\Lambda_i D_i$ and $\Lambda_i d_i$ in which Λ_1 is a constitutively undetermined density of axial force exerted on the fibers.
- Incompressibility entails the constraint det F (= det E) = 1, which may be accommodated by using

 $\bar{W} = W + \Lambda_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \cdot ED - p(\det E - 1)$ *p* is Lagrange multiplier (78) and we find

 $Div(\mathbf{R}\sigma - p\mathbf{F}^* + \lambda \otimes D) = 0$ and $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{R}\sigma - p\mathbf{F}^* + \lambda \otimes D)\mathbf{n}$, (79) augmented by the Piola identity $Div\mathbf{F}^* = 0$.

Some Remarks

The dependence of the strain-energy function on γ (or Γ) introduces a natural length scale, L say, into the constitutive theory which is on the order of that of the microstructure and hence of the diameter of a fiber cross section or the spacing between adjacent fibers. Using the larger of these to define the dimensionless curvature-twist vector $L\gamma$, supposing that $|L\gamma| \ll 1$ in typical applications and assuming that the fibers transmit no moments when γ vanishes, we find that w is given to leading order by

$$w(\boldsymbol{E},\boldsymbol{\gamma};\boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{\varpi}(\boldsymbol{E};\boldsymbol{X}) + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{E};\boldsymbol{X})\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \tag{80}$$

where

$$\varpi(\boldsymbol{E};\boldsymbol{X}) = w(\boldsymbol{E},0;\boldsymbol{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{K}(\boldsymbol{E};\boldsymbol{X}) = w_{\gamma\gamma|\gamma=0}$$
(81)

For **E** close to **I** we have K(E; X) = K(I; X) + O(|E - I|), provided that $K(\cdot; X)$ is differentiable. Then the energy is approximated, as in

$$w(\lambda,\gamma;s) = \frac{1}{2}A(s)\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{2}T(s)\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2}F(s)\gamma_{\alpha}\kappa_{\alpha} \quad \text{with} \quad \varepsilon = \lambda - 1 \quad (82)$$

by the decoupled energy

$$w(\boldsymbol{E},\boldsymbol{\gamma};\boldsymbol{X}) = \varpi(\boldsymbol{E};\boldsymbol{X}) + \varphi(\boldsymbol{\gamma};\boldsymbol{X}), \tag{83}$$

for some homogeneous quadratic function $\varphi(\cdot; \boldsymbol{X})$.

The reference placement κ of the body in the cylindrical polar coordinate system (r,θ,z) is the region defined by

 $0 \le r \le a, \quad 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, \quad 0 \le z \le L$ (84) Position of a material point in the reference configuration and deformed configuration is

$$\boldsymbol{X} = r\boldsymbol{e}_r(\theta) + z\boldsymbol{k}, \qquad \chi(\boldsymbol{X}) = r\boldsymbol{e}_r(\phi) + z\boldsymbol{k} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \phi = \theta + \tau z \qquad (85)$$

The fiber are assumed to be everywhere aligned with the axis of the cylinder in the reference placement; thus, D = k, the fiber derivative is $(\cdot)' = \partial(\cdot)/\partial z$

Consider the elastic energy of the form

۱

$$w(\boldsymbol{E},\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = w_1(\boldsymbol{E}) + w_2(\boldsymbol{E})(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{D})^2 + w_3(\boldsymbol{E}) \mid 1\boldsymbol{\gamma} \mid^2$$
(86)

and

$$w_1(\boldsymbol{E}) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(l_1 - 3), \quad w_2(\boldsymbol{E}) = \frac{1}{2}T \quad w_3(\boldsymbol{E}) = \frac{1}{2}F \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{D} \otimes \boldsymbol{D}$$
(87)
where $l_1 = tr(\boldsymbol{E}^T \boldsymbol{E}), \ \mu, \ T$ and F are positive constants. Thus,

$$\sigma = \mu \boldsymbol{E} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{m} = T\gamma \boldsymbol{d} + F \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}' \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{D}$$
 (88)

By substituting the response functions in the balance laws, we find

$$\mu \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}' = \operatorname{grad} \boldsymbol{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{m}' + \boldsymbol{\chi}' \times \boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0$$
 (89)

Consider deformations that satisfy

$$\mu \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B} = \operatorname{grad} \boldsymbol{p} \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda}' = 0 \tag{90}$$

Deformation gradient

$$d\chi = \mathbf{F}d\mathbf{X} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Q}[\mathbf{I} + r\tau \mathbf{e}_{\theta} \otimes \mathbf{k}]$$
(91)

with

$$\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\phi) \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\theta) + \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}(\phi) \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}(\theta) + \boldsymbol{k} \otimes \boldsymbol{k} \in \mathrm{Orth}^{+}$$
(92)

This deformation is isochoric and hence kinematically admissible in an incompressible material.

Also

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{k} + r\tau \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}(\phi) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda = \sqrt{1 + r^2 \tau^2} \qquad (93)$$

We have

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{I} + r\tau[\mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\phi) \otimes \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\phi)] + r^{2}\tau^{2}\mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\phi) \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\phi)$$
(94)

and

$$\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{B} = -r\tau^{2}\boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\phi) \longrightarrow \operatorname{grad}\boldsymbol{p} = -\frac{r\tau^{2}}{\mu}\boldsymbol{e}_{r}(\phi) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{p}(r) = p_{0} - \frac{1}{2}\mu\tau^{2}r^{2}$$
(95)

where p_0 is a constant.

We have

$$\boldsymbol{m} = T\gamma \boldsymbol{d} + F\boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}' \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{m}' = T\gamma' \boldsymbol{d} + T\gamma \boldsymbol{d}' + F\boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}''$$
(96)

and, because

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{\alpha}\,\boldsymbol{d}_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} = 0 \tag{97}$$

we find

$$\boldsymbol{m}' + \boldsymbol{\chi}' \times \boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0 \xrightarrow{\cdot \boldsymbol{d}} \boldsymbol{m}' \cdot \boldsymbol{d} = 0 \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\gamma}' = (\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{D})' = 0$$
 (98)

Also

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{k} + r\tau \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}(\phi) \longrightarrow \lambda \boldsymbol{d}' = -r\tau^2 \boldsymbol{e}_r(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}'' = \lambda^{-2} r\tau^3 \boldsymbol{e}_r \quad (99)$$
so

$$\boldsymbol{m}' = \frac{r\tau^2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{F\tau}{\lambda} - T\gamma\right) \boldsymbol{e}_r(\phi) \tag{100}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{m}' + \chi' \times \lambda = 0 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{d} \times} \lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{m}' = -\frac{r\tau^2}{\lambda^3} (\frac{F\tau}{\lambda} - T\gamma)[r\tau \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{e}_{\theta}(\phi)]$$
 (101)

and

$$\lambda' = 0, \text{ and } \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}' = -\tau \boldsymbol{e}_r(\phi) \longrightarrow \gamma = \frac{F\tau}{\lambda T}$$
 (102)

and as a result

$$\boldsymbol{m} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{k} \tag{103}$$

implying that every fiber transmits the same moment. This result is interesting in light of the fact that the individual terms in $m = T\gamma d + F d \times d'$ associated with fiber twisting and bending are non-uniform.

To complete the solution we impose the traction condition $(t = (R\sigma - pF^* + \lambda \otimes D)n, \text{ with } D = k)$

$$(\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{F}^*)\boldsymbol{e}_r(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r = a.$$
 (104)

This is equivalent to $(R\sigma)F^t e_r(\phi) = pe_r(\phi)$ and thus, in the present circumstances, to

$$\mu \mathbf{B} \mathbf{e}_r(\phi) = p \mathbf{e}_r(\phi) \quad \text{at} \quad r = a, \tag{105}$$

furnishing
$$p(a) = \mu$$
 and hence $p(r) = \frac{1}{2}\mu\tau^2(a^2 - r^2) + \mu$, finally yielding
 $(R\sigma)F^t - pI = \mu[\frac{1}{2}\tau^2(r^2 - a^2) - 1]I + \mu B.$ (106)

The overall response of the cylinder may be determined by computing the net force on a cross section and the net torque required to effect the torsion. These in turn require the traction

$$\boldsymbol{t} = [(\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{\sigma})\boldsymbol{F}^{t} - \boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{I}]\boldsymbol{k} = \frac{1}{2}\mu\tau^{2}(r^{2} - a^{2})\boldsymbol{k} + \mu r\tau\boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}(\phi)$$
(107)

acting on a cross section. This is the same as the traction appearing in

$$\boldsymbol{t} = (\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \otimes \boldsymbol{D})\boldsymbol{n} \tag{108}$$

because there is no change in cross-sectional area in the course of the deformation.

The resultant force is

$$\boldsymbol{f} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^s \boldsymbol{t} r dr d\phi = f(\tau) \boldsymbol{k}, \tag{109}$$

where

 $f(\tau) = -\frac{1}{4}\pi a^4 \mu \tau^2,$

 $\rho = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^a (\chi \times \boldsymbol{t} + \boldsymbol{m}) r dr d\phi = \rho(\tau) \boldsymbol{k}, \qquad (111)$

where

$$\rho(\tau) = \pi a^2 \tau (F + \frac{1}{2}\mu a^2).$$
(112)

(110)

An Example: Flexure

To describe flexure of a rectangular block we use Cartesian coordinates in the reference placement and polars in the current placement. Specifically,

$$\mathbf{X} = x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}$$
 and $\chi(\mathbf{X}) = r\mathbf{e}_r(\theta) + z\mathbf{k}$, where $r = f(x)$ and $\theta = g(y)$,
(113)

for some functions f and g, to be determined. The deformation gradient is

$$\boldsymbol{F} = f' \boldsymbol{e}_r \otimes \boldsymbol{i} + f g' \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \otimes \boldsymbol{j} + \boldsymbol{k} \otimes \boldsymbol{k}, \qquad (114)$$

yielding

$$1 = J = f(x)f'(x)g'(y)$$
(115)

in the case of incompressibility. This implies that g' = C, a constant. We assume g to be an odd function and conclude that

$$g = Cy$$
 and $f = \sqrt{C^{-1}x} + B$, (116)

where B is constant and we take C > 0 without loss of generality. We consider two cases:

- ✤ D = i
- ✤ D = j

The fibers are initially horizontal and mapped by the deformation to rays through the origin. From $\lambda d = FD$

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{F}\boldsymbol{i} = f'(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{e}_r \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{e}_r(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = f'(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
 (117)

Then,

$$\mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d}_{,x} = \mathbf{e}_{\theta}\theta_{,x} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{(because } \theta \text{ is a function of } y \text{ alone)} \qquad (118)$$

Accordingly, the fibers remain straight in the course of the deformation, thus

$$\boldsymbol{m} = T\gamma \boldsymbol{d} + F \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}' \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{m} = T\gamma \boldsymbol{e}_r.$$
(119)

also

$$\mathbf{m}' + \chi' \times \lambda = 0 \xrightarrow{\cdot \mathbf{d}} 0 = \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{e}_r \cdot \mathbf{m}_{,x} = T\gamma_{,x} \Longrightarrow \gamma'(=\gamma_{,x}) = 0$$
 (120)

This in turn implies

$$\mathbf{m}' = 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{d} \times \mathbf{\lambda} = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{because } \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} = 0} \mathbf{\lambda} = 0$$
 (121)

Further, if there are no twisting couples at the vertical boundaries x = const., then γ and m vanish everywhere; the fibers are effectively inactive. Of course there exists an equilibrating pressure field because this deformation is controllable.

The fibers are initially vertical and hence mapped by the deformation to concentric circles. In place of $\lambda d = Fi = f'(x)e_r$ we have

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{j} = f \boldsymbol{g}' \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = f \boldsymbol{g}' = C \sqrt{C^{-1} x + B}.$$
 (122)

Then, $\boldsymbol{d}' = \boldsymbol{d}_{,y} = \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}' g'(y) = -C \boldsymbol{e}_r$.

Also

$$\boldsymbol{m} = T\gamma \boldsymbol{d} + F \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}' \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{m} = T\gamma \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} + FC \boldsymbol{k}.$$
(123)

Moreover

$$\boldsymbol{m}' + \boldsymbol{\chi}' \times \boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0 \xrightarrow{\cdot \boldsymbol{d}} 0 = \boldsymbol{d} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}' = \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}_{,y} = T\gamma_{,y} \Longrightarrow \gamma'(=\gamma_{,y}) = 0$$
(124)

If no twisting couples are applied at the horizontal boundaries y = const., then the fiber twist vanishes everywhere and

$$\boldsymbol{m} = FC \boldsymbol{k} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{m}' = 0 \Longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0$$
 (125)

as before. The moment m combines with the overall bending moment generated by the matrix material.

An Example: Bending, stretching and shearing of a block

This is another controllable deformation, obtained by composing transverse shear with flexure. First we deform the block by flexure to the configuration defined by $x_1 = \chi_1(X)$, where χ_1 is given by $\chi_1(X) = re_r(\theta) + zk$. Then the block is sheared to the configuration

$$\mathbf{x}_2 = \boldsymbol{\chi}_2(\mathbf{x}_1) = r \mathbf{e}_r(\theta) + \varsigma \mathbf{k}, \quad \text{where} \quad \varsigma = z + \beta \theta,$$
 (126)

with β a positive constant. This maps a plane z = const. to a helicoidal surface. We obtain $F = F_2 F_1$ with

$$F_1 = f' e_r \otimes i + fg' e_\theta \otimes j + k \otimes k \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 = I + \beta r^{-1} k \otimes e_\theta.$$
(127)
For the case $D = j$ we compute

$$\lambda \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{F} \boldsymbol{j} = \boldsymbol{C}(\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta} + \beta \boldsymbol{k}) \longrightarrow \lambda = \boldsymbol{C} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{r}^2 + \beta^2} \quad \text{(a function of x alone)} \quad (128)$$

The fibers r = const. are circular helices of constant pitch. From these results we find

$$\boldsymbol{d}' = \boldsymbol{d}_{,y} = \frac{-Cr}{\sqrt{r^2 + \beta^2}} \boldsymbol{e}_r(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{d} \times \boldsymbol{d}' = \frac{Cr^2}{r^2 + \beta^2} (\boldsymbol{k} - \beta r^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}_{\theta}).$$
(129)

Using $m = T\gamma d + Fd \times d'$ and $m' + \chi' \times \lambda = 0$ we again obtain $\gamma'(=\gamma_{,y}) = 0$ and conclude that the fiber twist is a function of x alone. To determine it we substitute into $m' + \chi' \times \lambda = 0$, obtaining

$$T\gamma d' + F d \times d'' = \lambda \lambda \times d, \qquad (130)$$

and hence

$$\lambda \times \boldsymbol{d} = \lambda^{-1} \left(F \frac{C^2 \beta r}{r^2 + \beta^2} - T \frac{\gamma C r}{\sqrt{r^2 + \beta^2}} \right) \boldsymbol{e}_r.$$
(131)

This yields $\lambda = d \times (\lambda \times d)$ in terms of $\gamma(x)$.

A force-free solution ($\lambda = 0$) is available, with fiber twist $\gamma(x) = \frac{F}{T} \frac{C\beta}{\sqrt{r^2 + \beta^2}}, \quad \text{where} \quad r = f(x). \quad (132)$

Then m is fully determined by the constitutive equation $m = T\gamma d + F d \times d'$, and includes both bending and twisting components. We have satisfied

$$\boldsymbol{m}' + \boldsymbol{\chi}' \times \boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\lambda}' = 0$$
 (133)

and so $\lambda' + \mu div B = \text{grad}p$ yields the existence of an equilibrating pressure field by virtue of the controllability of the deformation.

M. F. Beatty. Topics in finite elasticity: hyperelasticity of rubber, elastomers, and biological materials - with examples. *Appl. Mech. Rev.*, 40:1699–1734, 1987.

J. L. Ericksen. Deformations possible in every isotropic, incompressible, perfectly elastic body. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 5:466-489, 1954.

L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *Theory of Elasticity*, 3rd edition. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1986.

R. W. Ogden. Non-linear Elastic Deformations. Dover, New York, 1997.

M. Shirani and D. J. Steigmann. A Cosserat model of elastic solids reinforced by a family of curved and twisted fibers. *Sym.* 12(7):1133, 2020.

D. J. Steigmann. Theory of elastic solids reinforced with fibers resistant to extension, flexure and twist. *Inter. J. Non-Lin. Mech.* 47(7):734-742, 2012.

D. J. Steigmann. Effects of fiber bending and twisting resistance on the mechanics of fiber-reinforced elastomers. In *Nonlinear mechanics of soft fibrous materials*, 269-305. Springer, Vienna, 2015.

